A novel second order finite difference discrete scheme for fractal mobile/immobile transport model based on equivalent transformative Caputo formulation

Zhengguang Liu[a], Xiaoli Li[a,*]

[a]*School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, China.*

arXiv:1701.01283v1 [math.AP] 5 Jan 2017

Abstract

In this article, we present a new second order finite difference discrete scheme for fractal mobile/immobile transport model based on equivalent transformative Caputo formulation. The new transformative formulation takes the singular kernel away to make the integral calculation more efficient. Furthermore, this definition is also effective where α is a positive integer. Besides, the T-Caputo derivative also helps to increase the convergence rate of the discretization of α-order($0 < \alpha < 1$) Caputo derivative from $O(\tau^{2-\alpha})$ to $O(\tau^{3-\alpha})$, where τ is the time step. For numerical analysis, a Crank-Nicholson finite difference scheme to solve fractal mobile/immobile transport model is introduced and analyzed. The unconditional stability and a priori estimates of the scheme are given rigorously. Moreover, the applicability and accuracy of the scheme are demonstrated by numerical experiments to support our theoretical analysis.

Keywords:
Transformative formulation, Singular kernel, mobile/immobile transport model, Unconditional stability, Estimates
2010 MSC: 65M06, 65M12, 65M15, 26A33

1. Introductions

In recent years, many problems in physical science, electromagnetism, electrochemistry, diffusion and general transport theory can be solved by the fractional calculus approach, which gives attractive applications as a new modeling tool in a variety of scientific and engineering fields. Roughly speaking, the fractional models can be classified into two principal kinds: space-fractional differential equation and time-fractional one. Numerical methods and theory of solutions of the problems for fractional differential equations have been studied extensively by many researchers which mainly cover finite element methods [1–4], mixed finite element methods [5–8], finite difference methods [9–12], finite volume (element) methods [13, 14], (local) discontinuous Galerkin (L)DG methods [15], spectral methods [16, 17] and so on.

The singular kernel of Caputo fractional derivative causes a lot of difficult problems both in integral calculation and discretization. To take singular kernel away, Caputo and Fabrizio [18] suggest a new definition of fractional derivative by changing the kernel $(t-s)^{-\alpha}$ with the function $exp(-\alpha\frac{t-s}{1-\alpha})$ and $\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}$ with $\frac{M(\alpha)}{1-\alpha}$. The Caputo-Fabrizo derivative can portray substance heterogeneities and configurations with different scales, which noticeably cannot be managing with the renowned local theories. And some related articles have been considered by many authors. Atangana [19] introduces the application to nonlinear Fisherąs reaction-diffusion equation based on the new fractional derivative. He [20] also analyzes the extension of the resistance, inductance, capacitance electrical circuit to this fractional derivative without singular kernel. A numerical solution

*Corresponding author.
Email addresses: liuzhgsdu@yahoo.com (Zhengguang Liu), xiaolisdu@163.com (Xiaoli Li)

for the model of resistance, inductance, capacitance(RLC) circuit via the fractional derivative without singular kernel is considered by Atangana [21]. However, we observe that there are many different actions between Caputo-Fabrizio derivative and Caputo derivative. The two definitions are not equivalent and can not transform into each other in any cases.

In this paper, we suggest a new transformative formulation of fractional derivative named T-Caputo forluma, which is equivalent with Caputo fractional derivative in some cases. Furthermore, the two definitions can transform into each other. More importantly, the T-Caputo formula also helps to increase the convergence rate of the discretization of α-order($0 < \alpha < 1$) Caputo derivative from $O(\tau^{2-\alpha})$ to $O(\tau^{3-\alpha})$, where τ is the time step. For numerical analysis, we present a Crank-Nicholson finite difference scheme to solve fractal mobile/immobile transport model. The unconditional stability and a priori estimates of the scheme are given rigorously. Moreover, the applicability and accuracy of the scheme are demonstrated by numerical experiments to support our theoretical analysis.

A fractal mobile/immobile transport model is a type of second order partial differential equations (PDEs), describing a wide family of problems including heat diffusion and ocean acoustic propagation, in physical or mathematical systems with a time variable, which behave essentially like heat diffusing through a solid [22]. Significant progress has already been made in the approximation of the time fractional order dispersion equation, see [23]. Schumer [24] firstly developes the fractional-order, mobile/immobile (MIM) model. The time drift term $\partial u/\partial t$ is added to describe the motion time and thus helps to distinguish the status of particles conveniently. This equation is the limiting equation that governs continuous time random walks with heavy tailed random waiting times. In most cases, it is difficult, or infeasible, to find the analytical solution or good numerical solution of the problems. Numerical solutions or approximate analytical solutions become necessary. Liu et al. [25] give a radial basis functions(RBFs) meshless approach for modeling a fractal mobile/immobile transport model. Numerical simulation of the fractional order mobile/immobile advection-dispersion model is consindered by Liu et al. [26]. Furthermore, Zhang and Liu [27] present a novel numerical method for the time variable fractional order mobile–immobile advection–dispersion model. The finite difference schemes are used by Ashyralyev and Cakir [28] for solving one-dimensional fractional parabolic partial differential equations. They [29] also give the FDM for fractional parabolic equations with the Neumann condition.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect.2, we give the definitions and some notations. We introduce a Crank-Nicholson finite difference scheme for a fractal mobile/immobile transport model in Sect.3. Then in Sect.4, we give the analysis of stability and error estimates for the presented method. In Sect.5, some numerical experiments for the second order finite difference discretization are carried out.

2. Some notations and definitions

Firstly, we give some definitions which are used in the following analysis.

Let us recall the usual Caputo fractional time derivative of order α, given by

$$ {}_0^C D_t^\alpha u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t u'(s)(t-s)^{-\alpha} ds, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1. $$

Here, we give the following new transformative formulation of fractional derivative.

Definition 1. *Let $u(t) \in C^2(0,T)$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then the new transformative formula of fractional order is defined as:*

$$ {}_0^{TC} D_t^\alpha u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \int_0^t u''(s)(t-s)^{1-\alpha} ds, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1. $$

From the above definition of fractional order transformative formula, we know that the singular kernel $(t-\tau)^{-\alpha}$ in Caputo derivative is replaced with $(t-\tau)^{1-\alpha}$ in new one which does not have singularity for $t = \tau$.

Lemma 2. *Suppose $u(t) \in C^2(0,T)$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then we have*

$$ {}_0^{TC} D_t^\alpha u(t) = {}_0^C D_t^\alpha u(t) - \frac{u'(0) t^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}. $$

In particular, if the function is such that $u'(0)=0$, then we have

$$ {}_0^{TC}D_t^{\alpha}u(t) = {}_0^{C}D_t^{\alpha}u(t). $$

Proof: Noting that

$$ \frac{\partial[u'(s)(t-s)^{1-\alpha}]}{\partial s} = u''(s)(t-s)^{1-\alpha} - (1-\alpha)u'(s)(t-s)^{-\alpha}. $$

Then it is easy to get

$$ u'(s)(t-s)^{-\alpha} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\left[u''(s)(t-s)^{1-\alpha} - \frac{\partial[u'(s)(t-s)^{1-\alpha}]}{\partial s}\right]. $$

Thus the Caputo derivative can be rewritten as

$$ \begin{aligned} {}_0^{C}D_t^{\alpha}u(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\int_0^t u'(s)(t-s)^{-\alpha}ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}\int_0^t \left[u''(s)(t-s)^{1-\alpha} - \frac{\partial[u'(s)(t-s)^{1-\alpha}]}{\partial s}\right]ds \\ &= {}_0^{TC}D_t^{\alpha}u(t) - u'(s)(t-s)^{1-\alpha}\Big|_0^t \\ &= {}_0^{TC}D_t^{\alpha}u(t) + \frac{u'(0)t^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}. \end{aligned} $$

This completes the proof.

Definition 3. *Suppose $u(t)\in C^{n+1}(0,T)$, if $n>1$, and $\alpha\in(n-1,n)$, the fractional transformative formulation ${}_0^{TC}D_t^{\alpha}u(t)$ is defined by*

$$ {}_0^{TC}D_t^{\alpha}u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n+1-\alpha)}\int_0^t u^{(n+1)}(s)(t-s)^{n-\alpha}ds, \quad n-1<\alpha<n. $$

Lemma 4. *Suppose $u(t)\in C^{n+1}(0,T)$, $\alpha\in(n-1,n)$, then we have*

$$ {}_0^{TC}D_t^{\alpha}u(t) = {}_0^{C}D_t^{\alpha}u(t) - \frac{u^{(n)}(0)t^{n-\alpha}}{\Gamma(n+1-\alpha)}. $$

In particular, if the function is such that $u^{(n)}(0)=0$, then we have

$$ {}_0^{TC}D_t^{\alpha}u(t) = {}_0^{C}D_t^{\alpha}u(t), \quad n-1<\alpha<n. $$

Proof: Similarly analysis in the proof of Lemma 1, we have

$$ \frac{\partial[u^{(n)}(s)(t-s)^{n-\alpha}]}{\partial s} = u^{(n+1)}(s)(t-s)^{n-\alpha} - (n-\alpha)u^{(n)}(s)(t-s)^{n-1-\alpha}. $$

Then it is easy to get

$$ u^{(n)}(s)(t-s)^{n-1-\alpha} = \frac{1}{n-\alpha}\left[u^{(n+1)}(s)(t-s)^{n-\alpha} - \frac{\partial[u^{(n)}(s)(t-s)^{n-\alpha}]}{\partial s}\right]. $$

Thus the α-order Caputo derivative can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_0^C D_t^\alpha u(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t u^{(n)}(s)(t-s)^{n-1-\alpha} ds \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n+1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \left[u^{(n+1)}(s)(t-s)^{n-\alpha} - \frac{\partial \left[u^{(n)}(s)(t-s)^{n-\alpha} \right]}{\partial s} \right] ds \\
&= {}_0^{TC} D_t^\alpha u(t) - u^{(n)}(s)(t-s)^{n-\alpha} \Big|_0^t \\
&= {}_0^{TC} D_t^\alpha u(t) + \frac{u^{(n)}(0) t^{n-\alpha}}{\Gamma(n+1-\alpha)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 5. *For the new fractional order transformative formulation, $\alpha \in (0,1)$ we have*

$$D_t^{(n)}({}_0^{TC} D_t^\alpha u(t)) = {}_0^C D_t^\alpha (D_t^{(n)} u(t)).$$

In particular, if the function is such that $u'(0) = 0$, then we have

$$D_t^{(n)}({}_0^{TC} D_t^\alpha u(t)) = {}_0^{TC} D_t^\alpha (D_t^{(n)} u(t)).$$

Proof: We begin considering $n = 1$, then from definition (1) of ${}_0^{TC} D_t^\alpha u(t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
D_t^{(1)}({}_0^{TC} D_t^\alpha u(t)) &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \int_0^t u''(s)(t-s)^{1-\alpha} ds \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \left[u''(s)(t-s)^{1-\alpha} \Big|_{s=t} + \int_0^t (1-\alpha) u''(s)(t-s)^{-\alpha} ds \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t u''(s)(t-s)^{-\alpha} ds \\
&= {}_0^C D_t^\alpha (D_t^{(1)} u(t)).
\end{aligned}$$

Particularly, From Lemma 2, we know ${}_0^{TC} D_t^\alpha u(t) = {}_0^C D_t^\alpha u(t)$ if $u'(0) = 0$. Thus we have

$$D_t^{(1)}({}_0^{TC} D_t^\alpha u(t)) = {}_0^{TC} D_t^\alpha (D_t^{(1)} u(t)).$$

It is easy to generalize the proof for any $n > 1$.

Lemma 6. *For the new fractional order transformative formulation, if $\alpha = n$, we have*

$${}_0^{TC} D_t^n u(t) = u^{(n)}(t) - u^{(n)}(0).$$

Proof: From Definition 3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_0^{TC} D_t^\alpha u(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n+1-\alpha)} \int_0^t u^{(n+1)}(s)(t-s)^{n-\alpha} ds \\
&. = u^{(n)}(s) \Big|_{s=0}^t \\
&= u^{(n)}(t) - u^{(n)}(0).
\end{aligned}$$

From the Lemma 6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_0^{TC} D_t^\alpha u(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n+1-\alpha)} \int_0^t u^{(n+1)}(s)(t-s)^{n-\alpha} ds \\
&= \begin{cases} {}_0^C D_t^\alpha u(t) - \dfrac{u^{(n)}(0) t^{n-\alpha}}{\Gamma(n+1-\alpha)}, & n-1 < \alpha < n, \\ u^{(n)}(t) - u^{(n)}(0) & \alpha = n. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us consider, the transformative formulation of a particular function, as $u(t) = cos(t)$ for different $\alpha(0 < \alpha < 1)$. It is easy to get that $u'(0) = sin(0) = 0$. From Figure 1, we observe there are no different actions between transformative formulation and Caputo derivative. We also consider another function as $u(t) = sin(t)$ which has $u''(0) = 0$ for different $\alpha(1 < \alpha < 2)$. From Figure 2, transformative formulation and Caputo derivative have the exact same set of states.



Figure 1: Simulation of transformative formulation(left) and Caputo derivative, with α =0.1, 0.3, 0.6, 0.9 in the time interval $[0, 20]$.



Figure 2: Simulation of transformative formulation(left) and Caputo derivative, with α =1.1, 1.3, 1.6, 1.9 in the time interval $[0, 20]$.

3. Finite difference scheme for fractal mobile/immobile transport model

In this section, we introduce the basic ideas for the numerical solution of the fractal mobile/immobile transport model by the second order finite difference scheme.

We consider the following fractal mobile/immobile transport model:

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} + {}_0^C D_t^{\alpha} u(x,t) = \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + f(x,t), \tag{1}$$

where $(x,t) \in \Omega = [0,L] \times [0,T]$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $f \in C[0,T]$, with the initial conditions

$$u(x,0) = \phi(x), \quad 0 \le x \le L, \tag{2}$$

and boundary conditions

$$u(0,t)=u(L,t)=0,\quad t>0. \tag{3}$$

Letting $t=0$ in the equation (1), we get

$$u'(0)=\psi(x)=\phi_{xx}(x)+f(x,0).$$

Using Lemma 2, the above model can be transformed into the following formulation:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t}+{}_0^{TC}D_t^{\alpha}u(x,t)=\dfrac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2}+f(x,t)-\dfrac{\psi(x)t^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}, & (x,t)\in\Omega,\\ u(x,0)=\phi(x), & 0\le x\le L,\\ u(0,t)=u(L,t)=0, & t>0,\\ \psi(x)=\phi_{xx}(x)+f(x,0), & 0\le x\le L. \end{cases}$$

In order to do discretizations, we define $\Omega_h=\{x_i|x_i=ih,\ h=L/M,\ 0\le i\le M\}$ to be a uniform mesh of interval $[0,L]$. Similarly, define $\Omega_\tau=\{t_n,\ t_n=i\tau,\ \tau=T/N,\ 0\le i\le N\}$ to be a uniform mesh of interval $[0,T]$. The values of the function u at the grid points are denoted $u_j^k=u(x_j,t_k)$. U_j^k is the approximate solution at the point (x_j,t_k). In case, we suppose $V=\{V_i,\ 0\le i\le M, V_0=V_M=0\}$ and $W=\{W_i,\ 0\le i\le N, W_0=W_M=0\}$ are two grid functions on Ω_h. $g=\{g^n,\ 0\le n\le N\}$ is grid functions on Ω_τ.

For functions g, V and W, we give some notations, define L^2 discrete inner products and norms. Define[12]

$$\delta_t g^n=\frac{g^n-g^{n-1}}{\tau},\quad (V,W)=\sum_{i=1}^{M-1}hV_iW_i,\quad \|V\|^2=(V,V).$$

3.1. The Crank-Nicholson finite difference scheme

From now on, let C stand for a positive number independent of τ and h, but possibly with different values at different places. We give some lemmas which used in stability analysis and error estimates.

The objective of this section is to consider the Crank-Nicholson finite difference method for equations (1). A discrete approximation to the new transformative formulation ${}_0^{TC}D_t^{\alpha}u(x,t)$ at $(x_i,t_{k+\frac{1}{2}})$ can be obtained by the following approximation

$$\begin{aligned} {}_0^{TC}D_t^{\alpha}u(x_i,t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}\int_0^{t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}}u''(x_i,s)(t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}-s)^{1-\alpha}ds\\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\int_{t_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}^{t_{j+\frac{1}{2}}}\left[\frac{u'(x_i,t_{j+\frac{1}{2}})-u'(x_i,t_{j-\frac{1}{2}})}{\tau}+(s-t_j)u_t^{(3)}(x_i,c_j)\right](t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}-s)^{1-\alpha}ds\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}\int_0^{t_{\frac{1}{2}}}u''(x,s)(t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}-s)^{1-\alpha}ds\\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\int_{t_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}^{t_{j+\frac{1}{2}}}\left[\frac{u_i^{j+1}-2u_i^j+u_i^{j-1}}{\tau^2}+r^j+(s-t_j)u_t^{(3)}(x_i,c_j)\right](t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}-s)^{1-\alpha}ds\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}\int_{-t_{\frac{1}{2}}}^{t_{\frac{1}{2}}}\left[\frac{u_i^1-2u_i^0+u_i^{-1}}{\tau^2}+r^0+(s-t_0)u_t^{(3)}(x_i,c_0)\right](t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}-s)^{1-\alpha}ds\\ &\quad-\frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}\int_{-t_{\frac{1}{2}}}^{0}u''(x,s)(t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}-s)^{1-\alpha}ds, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $c_j \in (x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{j+\frac{1}{2}})$ and for $\xi_1 \in (t_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_{j+1})$, $\xi_2 \in (t_j, t_{j+\frac{1}{2}})$, $\xi_3 \in (t_{j-\frac{1}{2}}, t_j)$, $\xi_4 \in (t_{j-1}, t_{j-\frac{1}{2}})$, $\eta \in (t_{j-\frac{1}{2}}, t_{j+\frac{1}{2}})$ and $u(t) \in C^4[0, t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r^j =& \frac{1}{24}\tau \left[u_t^{(3)}(x_i, t_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) - u_t^{(3)}(x_i, t_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{256}\tau^2 \left[u_t^{(4)}(x_i, \xi_1) + u_t^{(4)}(x_i, \xi_2) - u_t^{(4)}(x_i, \xi_3) - u_t^{(4)}(x_i, \xi_4) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{48}\tau^2 u_t^{(4)}(x_i, \eta) + O(\tau^2) \\ &= O(\tau^2). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

In particular, for $j = 0$, denote $u^{-1} = u^0 - \tau u'(x, 0) = \phi - \tau\psi$. Using the simple linear interpolant of u at $(-t_1, 0)$, so for $s \in (-t_{\frac{1}{2}}, 0)$, we have $u''(x, s) = 0$. It is a suitable method to satisfy the condition $u^{-1} = u^0 - \tau u'(x, 0)$.

Combining the equation (4) with (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} {}_0^{TC}D_t^\alpha u(x_i, t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) &= \frac{\tau^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(3-\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left(\frac{u_i^{j+1} - 2u_i^j + u_i^{j-1}}{\tau^2} \right) M_{k-j} + \frac{\tau^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(3-\alpha)} \left(\frac{u_i^1 - u_i^0}{\tau^2} - \frac{\psi}{\tau} \right) M_k + R_i^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{\tau^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(3-\alpha)} \left[M_0 \delta_t u_i^{k+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left(M_{k-j} - M_{k-j+1} \right) \delta_t u_i^j - M_k \psi_i \right] + R_i^{k+\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where

$$M_j = (j+1)^{2-\alpha} - j^{2-\alpha}, \tag{7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_i^{k+\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \int_{t_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}^{t_{j+\frac{1}{2}}} \left(r^j + (s - t_j) u_t^{(3)}(x_i, c_j) \right) (t_{k+\frac{1}{2}} - s)^{1-\alpha} ds \\ &= O(\tau^{3-\alpha}). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

We give some Lemmas about M_j that will be used in the following analysis.

Lemma 7. *For the definition M_j, $(j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N-1)$, we have $M_j > 0$ and $M_{j+1} \geq M_j$, $\forall j \leq k$.*

Proof: Observing that $x^{2-\alpha}$ is a monotone increasing function for $0 < \alpha < 1$, then we have $M_j = (j+1)^{2-\alpha} - j^{2-\alpha} > 0$. Next, let $f(x) = (x+1)^{2-\alpha} - x^{2-\alpha}$, we have

$$f'(x) = (2-\alpha)[(x+1)^{1-\alpha} - x^{1-\alpha}] \geq 0, \quad \forall x \leq 0.$$

Thus we obtain

$$M_{j+1} = f(j+1) \geq f(j) = M_j.$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 8. *For the definition $M_j = (j+1)^{2-\alpha} - j^{2-\alpha}$, we denote $G_{j+1} = M_{j+1} - M_j$, $(j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N-1)$. Then it holds that*

$$G_1 \geq G_2 \geq \cdots \geq G_N \geq 0.$$

Proof: Firstly, using Lemma (7), it is easy to get $G_j \geq 0$. Next, for fixed $0 < \alpha < 1$, we give the following function

$$f(x) = (x+2)^{2-\alpha} - 2(x+1)^{2-\alpha} + x^{2-\alpha},$$

then we have

$$f'(x) = (2-\alpha)[(x+2)^{1-\alpha} - 2(x+1)^{1-\alpha} + x^{1-\alpha}].$$

Using Taylor's expansion, we have

$$(x+2)^{1-\alpha} = (x+1)^{1-\alpha} + (1-\alpha)(x+1)^{-\alpha} - \frac{1}{2!}(1-\alpha)\alpha(x+1)^{-(\alpha+1)} + \frac{1}{3!}(1-\alpha)\alpha(\alpha+1)\xi_1^{-(\alpha+2)},$$
$$x^{1-\alpha} = (x+1)^{1-\alpha} - (1-\alpha)(x+1)^{-\alpha} - \frac{1}{2!}(1-\alpha)\alpha(x+1)^{-(\alpha+1)} - \frac{1}{3!}(1-\alpha)\alpha(\alpha+1)\xi_2^{-(\alpha+2)},$$

where $\xi_1 \in (x+1, x+2)$ and $\xi_2 \in (x, x+1)$.

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= (2-\alpha)\left[-(1-\alpha)\alpha(x+1)^{-(\alpha+1)} + \frac{1}{3!}(1-\alpha)\alpha(\alpha+1)\left(\xi_1^{-(\alpha+2)} - \xi_2^{-(\alpha+2)}\right)\right] \\ &\le -(2-\alpha)(1-\alpha)\alpha(x+1)^{-(\alpha+1)} \\ &\le 0, \quad \forall x \ge 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1. \end{aligned}$$

It means that $G_j > G_{j+1}$, $\forall j \ge 1$. This completes the proof.

The discretization of first order time derivative is stated as:

$$\frac{\partial u(x_i, t_{k+\frac{1}{2}})}{\partial t} = \frac{u_i^{k+1} - u_i^k}{\tau} + O(\tau^2), \tag{9}$$

and the second order spatial derivative is stated as:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u(x_i, t_{k+\frac{1}{2}})}{\partial x^2} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{u_{i+1}^{k+1} - 2u_i^{k+1} + u_{i-1}^{k+1}}{h^2} + \frac{u_{i+1}^k - 2u_i^k + u_{i-1}^k}{h^2}\right] + O(h^2), \tag{10}$$

Combining the equation (6) with equations (9)∼(10), we can obtain the following finite difference scheme, $\forall k = 0, 1, \cdots N-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_t U_i^{k+1} + \frac{\tau^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(3-\alpha)}\left[M_0 \delta_t U_i^{k+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(M_{k-j} - M_{k-j+1}\right)\delta_t U_i^j - M_k \psi_i\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{U_{i+1}^{k+1} - 2U_i^{k+1} + U_{i-1}^{k+1}}{h^2} + \frac{U_{i+1}^k - 2U_i^k + U_{i-1}^k}{h^2}\right] + f_i^{k+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\psi_i[(k+\frac{1}{2})\tau]^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Note that $G_{j+1} = M_{j+1} - M_j$, $(j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N-1)$, then we have

$$-\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(M_{k-j} - M_{k-j+1}\right)\delta_t U_i^j = \frac{1}{\tau}\left[G_1 U_i^k|_{k\ge1} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(G_{k-j+1} - G_{k-j}\right)U_i^j - G_k U_i^0|_{k\ge1}\right]. \tag{12}$$

Let $\beta = \frac{\tau^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(3-\alpha)}$, then above scheme (11) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[-\frac{\tau}{2h^2}U_{i+1}^{k+1} + \left(\frac{\tau}{h^2} + 1 + \beta\right)U_i^{k+1} - \frac{\tau}{2h^2}U_{i-1}^{k+1}\right] \\ &= \left[\frac{\tau}{2h^2}U_{i+1}^k + \left(-\frac{\tau}{h^2} + 1 + \beta - G_1|_{k\ge1}\right)U_i^k + \frac{\tau}{2h^2}U_{i-1}^k + \beta\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(G_{k-j} - G_{k-j+1}\right)U_i^j + G_k U_i^0|_{k\ge1}\right] \\ &\quad + \tau\beta M_k\psi_i + \tau f_i^{k+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\tau\psi_i[(k+\frac{1}{2})\tau]^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

4. Stability analysis and optimal error estimates

4.1. Stability analysis

We analyze the stability of the difference scheme by a Fourier analysis. Let $\widetilde{U}_i^k$ be the approximate solution of (13), and define

$$\rho_i^k = U_i^k - \widetilde{U}_i^k, \quad 1 \le i \le M, \quad 0 \le k \le N.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[-\frac{\tau}{2h^2}\rho_{i+1}^{k+1} + \left(\frac{\tau}{h^2} + 1 + \beta\right)\rho_i^{k+1} - \frac{\tau}{2h^2}\rho_{i-1}^{k+1}\right] \\
&= \left[\frac{\tau}{2h^2}\rho_{i+1}^{k} + \left(-\frac{\tau}{h^2} + 1 + \beta - \beta G_1|_{k\ge 1}\right)\rho_i^{k} + \frac{\tau}{2h^2}\rho_{i-1}^{k} + \beta\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(G_{k-j} - G_{k-j+1}\right)\rho_i^{j} + \beta G_k \rho_i^0|_{k\ge 1}\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

As the same definition in [30], we define the grid function

$$\rho^k(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le x \le x_{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \rho_i^k, & x_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \le x \le x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 1 \le i \le M-1, \\ 0, & x_{M-\frac{1}{2}} \le x \le x_M. \end{cases}$$

We can expand $\rho^k(x)$ in a Fourier series

$$\rho^k(x) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} d_k(l) e^{\frac{i2\pi l x}{L}}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, N,$$

where discrete Fourier coefficients $d_k(l)$ are

$$d_k(l) = \frac{1}{L}\int_0^L \rho^k(\xi) e^{\frac{-i2\pi l \xi}{L}} d\xi. \tag{15}$$

Then we have the Parseval equality for the discrete Fourier transform

$$\int_0^L |\rho^k(x)|^2 dx = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} |d_k(l)|^2.$$

Introduce the following norm

$$\|\rho^k\|_2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} h|\rho_i^k|^2\right)^{1/2} = \left(\int_0^L |\rho_i^k|^2 dx\right)^{1/2}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\|\rho^k\|_2^2 = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} |d_k(l)|^2.$$

Based on the above analysis, we can suppose the solution of equation (14) has the following form $\rho_m^k = d_k e^{imh\gamma}$ where $L = 1$ and $\gamma = 2\pi l$.

Lemma 9. *Suppose that $d_k(l)$ $(k = 1, 2, \ldots, N)$ are defined by (15), then for $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have*

$$|d_k| \le |d_0|, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, N.$$

Proof: Substituting $\rho_m^k = d_k e^{imh\gamma}$ into equation (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[-\frac{\tau}{2h^2}d_{k+1}e^{i(m+1)h\gamma} + \left(\frac{\tau}{h^2}+1+\beta\right)d_{k+1}e^{imh\gamma} - \frac{\tau}{2h^2}d_{k+1}e^{i(m-1)h\gamma}\right] \\
&= \left[\frac{\tau}{2h^2}d_k e^{i(m+1)h\gamma} + \left(-\frac{\tau}{h^2}+1+\beta-\beta G_1|_{k\geq 1}\right)d_k e^{imh\gamma} + \frac{\tau}{2h^2}d_k e^{i(m-1)h\gamma}\right. \\
&\quad \left. +\beta\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(G_{k-j}-G_{k-j+1}\right)d_j e^{imh\gamma} + \beta G_k d_0 e^{imh\gamma}|_{k\geq 1}\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

By simply calculation, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[-\frac{\tau}{2h^2}(e^{ih\gamma}+e^{-ih\gamma})+\left(\frac{\tau}{h^2}+1+\beta\right)\right]d_{k+1} &= \left[\frac{\tau}{2h^2}(e^{ih\gamma}+e^{-ih\gamma})+\left(-\frac{\tau}{h^2}+1+\beta-\beta G_1|_{k\geq 1}\right)\right]d_k \\
&\quad +\beta\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(G_{k-j}-G_{k-j+1}\right)d_j + \beta G_k d_0|_{k\geq 1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Noting that $e^{ih\gamma}+e^{-ih\gamma} = 2\cos(h\gamma)$, thus equation (17) can be rewritten as the following formulation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[-\frac{\tau}{h^2}\cos(h\gamma)+\left(\frac{\tau}{h^2}+1+\beta\right)\right]d_{k+1} &= \left[\frac{\tau}{h^2}\cos(h\gamma)+\left(-\frac{\tau}{h^2}+1+\beta-\beta G_1|_{k\geq 1}\right)\right]d_k \\
&\quad +\beta\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(G_{k-j}-G_{k-j+1}\right)d_j + \beta G_k d_0|_{k\geq 1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Firstly, letting $k=0$ in equation (18) to obtain

$$|d_1| = \left|\frac{\frac{\tau}{h^2}\cos(h\gamma)-\frac{\tau}{h^2}+1+\beta}{-\frac{\tau}{h^2}\cos(h\gamma)+\frac{\tau}{h^2}+1+\beta}\right||d_0| = \left|\frac{-\frac{1-cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta}{\frac{1-cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta}\right||d_0| \leq |d_0|. \tag{19}$$

Now suppose that we have proved that $|d_n| \leq |d_0|$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, then using the equation (18), we obtain

$$|d_{k+1}| \leq \left(\left|\frac{-\frac{1-cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta-\beta G_1}{\frac{1-cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta}\right||d_k| + \frac{1}{|\frac{1-cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta|}\left[\beta\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(G_{k-j}-G_{k-j+1}\right)|d_j| + \beta G_k|d_0|\right]\right). \tag{20}$$

Observing that $G_j \geq 0$ and $G_j - G_{j+1} \geq 0$ in Lemma 8, then we obtain

$$\beta\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(G_{k-j}-G_{k-j+1}\right)|d_j| + \beta G_k|d_0| \leq \beta\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(G_{k-j}-G_{k-j+1}\right)+G_k\right)|d_0| = \beta G_1|d_0| \tag{21}$$

Combining the equation (20) with equation (21), we can obtain

$$|d_{k+1}| \leq \left(\frac{|-\frac{1-cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta-\beta G_1|+\beta G_1}{|\frac{1-cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta|}\right)|d_0|. \tag{22}$$

If $-\frac{1-cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta-\beta G_1 > 0$, then we have

$$|d_{k+1}| \leq \left(\frac{-\frac{1-cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta}{|\frac{1-cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta|}\right)|d_0| \leq |d_0|. \tag{23}$$

If $-\frac{1-cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta-\beta G_1\le 0$, then we have

$$|d_{k+1}|\le\left(\frac{2\beta G_1+\frac{1-cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau-1-\beta}{|\frac{1-cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta|}\right)|d_0|. \tag{24}$$

It means that

$$\begin{aligned}&|d_{k+1}|\le|d_0|\\ \Leftrightarrow\ &\frac{2\beta G_1+\frac{1-cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau-1-\beta}{\frac{1-cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta}\le 1\\ \Leftrightarrow\ &\beta G_1\le 1+\beta\\ \Leftrightarrow\ &(2^{2-\alpha}-3)\tau^{1-\alpha}\le\Gamma(3-\alpha).\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Note that $(2^{2-\alpha}-3)\tau^{1-\alpha}\le\Gamma(3-\alpha)$, $\forall\tau\le 1$. It means that $|d_{k+1}|\le|d_0|$ is unconditionally efficient. By using mathematical induction, we complete the proof.

Theorem 10. *The Crank-Nicholson finite difference scheme defined by (13) is unconditionally stable for* $0<\alpha<1$.

Proof: Suppose that $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^k$ is the approximate solution of equation (13), Applying Lemma 9 and Parseval equality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{U}^k-\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^k\|_2^2=\|\rho^k\|_2^2&=\sum_{m=1}^{M-1}h|\rho_m^k|^2=h\sum_{m=1}^{M-1}|d_k e^{imh\gamma}|^2=h\sum_{m=1}^{M-1}|d_k|^2\\ &\le h\sum_{m=1}^{M-1}|d_0|^2=h\sum_{m=1}^{M-1}|d_0e^{imh\gamma}|^2=\|\rho^0\|_2^2=\|\mathbf{U}^0-\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^0\|_2^2,\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

which proves that scheme (13) is unconditionally stable.

4.2. Optimal error estimate

Combining the equations (1) and (6) with (9)∼(10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[-\frac{\tau}{2h^2}u_{i+1}^{k+1}+\left(\frac{\tau}{h^2}+1+\beta\right)u_i^{k+1}-\frac{\tau}{2h^2}u_{i-1}^{k+1}\right]\\ &=\frac{\tau}{2h^2}u_{i+1}^k+\left(-\frac{\tau}{h^2}+1+\beta-\beta G_1|_{k\ge1}\right)u_i^k+\frac{\tau}{2h^2}u_{i-1}^k+\beta\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(G_{k-j}-G_{k-j+1}\right)u_i^j\\ &\quad+\beta G_k u_i^0|_{k\ge1}+\tau\beta M_k\psi_i+\tau f_i^{k+\frac12}-\frac{\tau\psi_i[(k+\frac12)\tau]^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}+\tau\widetilde{R}_i^{k+\frac12},\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where the truncation error at $(x_i,t_{k+\frac12})$ is $\widetilde{R}_i^{k+\frac12}=O(\tau^2+h^2)$.

Let $\varepsilon_i^k=u_i^k-U_i^k$ be the error at (x_i,t_k), then subtracting equation (13) from equation (27), we get the error equation as follows

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[-\frac{\tau}{2h^2}\varepsilon_{i+1}^{k+1}+\left(\frac{\tau}{h^2}+1+\beta\right)\varepsilon_i^{k+1}-\frac{\tau}{2h^2}\varepsilon_{i-1}^{k+1}\right]\\ &=\frac{\tau}{2h^2}\varepsilon_{i+1}^k+\left(-\frac{\tau}{h^2}+1+\beta-\beta G_1|_{k\ge1}\right)\varepsilon_i^k+\frac{\tau}{2h^2}\varepsilon_{i-1}^k+\beta\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(G_{k-j}-G_{k-j+1}\right)\varepsilon_i^j\\ &\quad+\beta G_k\varepsilon_i^0|_{k\ge1}+\tau\widetilde{R}_i^{k+\frac12},\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Similarly to the stability analysis, we define the grid functions as follows

$$\varepsilon^k(x)=\begin{cases}0, & 0\le x\le x_{\frac{1}{2}},\\ \varepsilon_i^k, & x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}\le x\le x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 1\le i\le M-1,\\ 0, & x_{M-\frac{1}{2}}\le x\le x_M,\end{cases}$$

and

$$\widetilde{R}^{k+\frac{1}{2}}(x)=\begin{cases}0, & 0\le x\le x_{\frac{1}{2}},\\ \widetilde{R}_i^{k+\frac{1}{2}}, & x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}\le x\le x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 1\le i\le M-1,\\ 0, & x_{M-\frac{1}{2}}\le x\le x_M.\end{cases}$$

We can expand $\varepsilon^k(x)$ and $\widetilde{R}^{k+\frac{1}{2}}(x)$ in two Fourier series

$$\varepsilon^k(x)=\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\mu_k(l)e^{\frac{2\pi lxi}{L}}, \quad k=1,2,\dots,N,$$
$$\widetilde{R}^{k+\frac{1}{2}}(x)=\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\nu_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(l)e^{\frac{2\pi lxi}{L}}, \quad k=0,1,\dots,N-1,$$

where discrete Fourier coefficients $\mu_k(l)$ and $\nu_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(l)$ are

$$\mu_k(l)=\frac{1}{L}\int_0^L\varepsilon^k(\xi)e^{\frac{-2\pi l\xi i}{L}}d\xi, \quad \nu_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(l)=\frac{1}{L}\int_0^L\widetilde{R}^{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\xi)e^{\frac{-2\pi l\xi i}{L}}d\xi. \tag{29}$$

Then we have the Parseval equality for the discrete Fourier transforms

$$\int_0^L|\varepsilon^k(x)|^2dx=\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}|\mu_k(l)|^2.$$

and

$$\int_0^L|\widetilde{R}^{k+\frac{1}{2}}(x)|^2dx=\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}|\nu_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(l)|^2. \tag{30}$$

Using the boundary conditions, it is easy to obtain $\varepsilon_0^k=\varepsilon_M^k=0$. Thus we define

$$\|\varepsilon^k\|_2=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M-1}h|\varepsilon_i^k|^2\right)^{1/2}=\left(\int_0^L|\varepsilon_i^k|^2dx\right)^{1/2}.$$

and

$$\|\widetilde{R}^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\|_2=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M-1}h|\widetilde{R}_i^{k+\frac{1}{2}}|^2\right)^{1/2}=\left(\int_0^L|\widetilde{R}_i^{k+\frac{1}{2}}|^2dx\right)^{1/2}.$$

Without loss of generality, suppose $L=1$, $\gamma=2\pi l$ and

$$\varepsilon_m^k=\mu_k e^{imh\gamma}, \quad \widetilde{R}_m^{k+\frac{1}{2}}=\nu_{k+\frac{1}{2}}e^{imh\gamma}. \tag{31}$$

Next, Taking notice of the above assumptions (31), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[-\frac{\tau}{2h^2}\mu_{k+1}e^{i(m+1)h\gamma}+\left(\frac{\tau}{h^2}+1+\beta\right)\mu_{k+1}e^{imh\gamma}-\frac{\tau}{2h^2}\mu_{k+1}e^{i(m-1)h\gamma}\right]\\ &=\frac{\tau}{2h^2}\mu_k e^{i(m+1)h\gamma}+\left(-\frac{\tau}{h^2}+1+\beta-\beta G_1|_{k\ge1}\right)\mu_k e^{imh\gamma}+\frac{\tau}{2h^2}\mu_k e^{i(m-1)h\gamma}\\ &\quad+\beta\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(G_{k-j}-G_{k-j+1}\right)\mu_j e^{imh\gamma}+\beta G_k\mu_0|_{k\ge1}e^{imh\gamma}+\tau\nu_{k+\frac{1}{2}}e^{imh\gamma}.\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

After simplifications, the equation can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\frac{1-\cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta\right)\mu_{k+1}\\&=\left(-\frac{1-\cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta-\beta G_1|_{k\geq 1}\right)\mu_k+\beta\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(G_{k-j}-G_{k-j+1}\right)\mu_j+\beta G_k\mu_0|_{k\geq 1}+\tau\nu_{k+\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}\tag{33}$$

Lemma 11. *Suppose that $\mu_k(l)$ $(k=1,2,\ldots,N)$ and $\nu_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(l)$ $(k=0,1,2,\ldots,N-1)$ are defined by (29), then for $0<\alpha<1$, we have*

$$|\mu_k|\leq C|\nu_{\frac{1}{2}}|,\quad k=1,2,\ldots,N.$$

Proof: Notice that the error equation satisfies the initial condition $\varepsilon_i^0=0$, $j=0,1,\ldots M$, thus we have $\mu_0=0$. Firstly, Letting $k=0$, we have

$$\mu_1=\frac{\tau}{\frac{1-\cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta}\nu_{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It means that $|\mu_1|<|\nu_{\frac{1}{2}}|$.

Now suppose that we have proved that $|\mu_n|\leq C|\mu_0|$, $n=1,2,\ldots,k$, then using the equation (33), we have

$$|\mu_{k+1}|\leq\left|\frac{-\frac{1-\cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta-\beta G_1}{\frac{1-\cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta}\right||\mu_k|+\frac{\beta\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(G_{k-j}-G_{k-j+1}\right)|\mu_j|+\beta G_k|\mu_0|+\tau|\nu_{k+\frac{1}{2}}|}{\left|\frac{1-\cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta\right|}.\tag{34}$$

Similarly to the analysis of equation (21), we obtain

$$\beta\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(G_{k-j}-G_{k-j+1}\right)|\mu_j|+\beta G_k|\mu_0|\leq C_1\beta\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(G_{k-j}-G_{k-j+1}\right)+G_k\right)|\nu_{\frac{1}{2}}|=C_1\beta G_1|\nu_{\frac{1}{2}}|.\tag{35}$$

Combining the equation (34) with (35), we have

$$|\mu_{k+1}|\leq\frac{1}{\left|\frac{1-\cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta\right|}\left(C_1\beta G_1+C_2\left|-\frac{1-\cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta-\beta G_1\right|\right)|\nu_{\frac{1}{2}}|+\frac{\tau|\nu_{k+\frac{1}{2}}|}{\left|\frac{1-\cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta\right|}.\tag{36}$$

Noting that $\widetilde{R}_{k+\frac{1}{2}}=O(\tau^2+h^2)$, $\forall 0\leq k\leq N-1$, and using equation (30), we obtain that there is a positive constant $C_{k+\frac{1}{2}}$, such that

$$|\nu_{k+\frac{1}{2}}|\leq C_{k+\frac{1}{2}}|\nu_{\frac{1}{2}}|,\quad k=0,1,\ldots N-1.$$

Let $C=\max\{C_{\frac{1}{2}},C_{\frac{3}{2}},\ldots,C_{N-\frac{1}{2}}\}$, we have

$$|\nu_{k+\frac{1}{2}}|\leq C|\nu_{\frac{1}{2}}|,\quad k=0,1,\ldots N-1.$$

Now, let $C=\max(C_1,C_2)$, and if $-\frac{1-\cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta-\beta G_1>0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\mu_{k+1}|&\leq C\frac{-\frac{1-\cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta}{\left|\frac{1-\cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta\right|}|\nu_{\frac{1}{2}}|+\frac{C\tau|\nu_{\frac{1}{2}}|}{\left|\frac{1-\cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta\right|}\\&\leq C|\nu_{\frac{1}{2}}|.\end{aligned}\tag{37}$$

If $-\frac{1-\cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta-\beta G_1\le 0$, then we have

$$|\mu_{k+1}|\le C\frac{2\beta G_1+\frac{1-\cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau-1-\beta}{\left|\frac{1-\cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta\right|}|\nu_{\frac{1}{2}}|+\frac{C\tau|\nu_{\frac{1}{2}}|}{\left|\frac{1-\cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta\right|}. \tag{38}$$

Similarly to the sability analysis, we have

$$\frac{2\beta G_1+\frac{1-\cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau-1-\beta}{\left|\frac{1-\cos(h\gamma)}{h^2}\tau+1+\beta\right|}\le 1,\quad \forall\tau\le 1. \tag{39}$$

It means that $|\mu_{k+1}|\le C|\nu_{\frac{1}{2}}|$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 12. *The Crank-Nicholson finite difference scheme is defined by equation (13) for $0<\alpha<1$, $\boldsymbol{u}^k=(u_1^k,u_2^k,\dots,u_{M-1}^k)$ and $\boldsymbol{U}^k=(U_1^k,U_2^k,\dots,U_{M-1}^k)$, then there exists a positive constant C independent of h, k and τ such that.*

$$\|\boldsymbol{u}^k-\boldsymbol{U}^k\|_2\le C(\tau^2+h^2),\quad \forall 1\le k\le N.$$

Proof: Applying Lemma 11 and Parseval equality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{u}^k-\mathbf{U}^k\|_2^2=\|\varepsilon^k\|_2^2&=\sum_{m=1}^{M-1}h|\varepsilon_m^k|^2=h\sum_{m=1}^{M-1}|\mu_k e^{imh\gamma}|^2=h\sum_{m=1}^{M-1}|\mu_k|^2\\&\le Ch\sum_{m=1}^{M-1}|\nu_{\frac{1}{2}}|^2=Ch\sum_{m=1}^{M-1}|\nu_{\frac{1}{2}}e^{imh\gamma}|^2=C\|\widetilde{R}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2=C(\tau^2+h^2)^2,\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

This completes the proof.

5. Numerical results

In this section, some numerical calculations are carried out to test our theoretical results. We consider a numerical example by taking space-time domain $\Omega=[0,1]\times[0,1]$.

Example 1:We give the exact solution $u(x,t)=e^t sin(\pi x)$, and for different α, we have different $f(x,t)$.

$$\begin{cases}\dfrac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t}+{}_0^C D_t^\alpha u(x,t)=\dfrac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2}+f(x,t),\quad (x,t)\in\Omega=[0,1]\times[0,1],\\ u(x,0)=sin(\pi x),\\ u(0,t)=u(1,t)=0.\end{cases}$$

Example 2: The exact solution is $u(x,t)=e^t x^2(1-x)^2$.

$$\begin{cases}\dfrac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t}+{}_0^C D_t^\alpha u(x,t)=\dfrac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2}+f(x,t),\quad (x,t)\in\Omega=[0,1]\times[0,1],\\ u(x,0)=x^2(1-x)^2,\\ u(0,t)=u(1,t)=0.\end{cases}$$

Numerical and exact solutions of fractal mobile/immobile transport model have been depicted in Figure 3 (Example 1) and Figure 4 (Example 2). Tables 1∼4 show the approximation errors and convergence rates for the second order Crank-Nicholson difference scheme. We take $\tau=\frac{1}{2000}$, a value small enough to check the space errors and convergence rates in Table 1 and Table 3. We choose different spatial step sizes to obtain the numerical convergence order in space. In Table 2 and Table 4, we take $h=\frac{1}{2000}$, a value small enough such that the spatial discretization errors are negligible as compared with the time errors. we can check that these numerical convergence order almost approaching 2, are consistent with the theoretical analysis.



Figure 3: Solution behavior of example 1 at $T = 1/4$, $T = 1/2$, $T = 3/4$, $T = 1$ with the model parameters $\alpha = 1/2$.

Table 1: Errors and convergence rates at the final time $T = 1$ of example 1 with different α and take $h = 1/2000$.

h	τ	$\gamma = 0.1$		$\gamma = 0.5$		$\gamma = 0.9$	
		L_2 norm error	Rate	L_2 norm error	Rate	L_2 norm error	Rate
1/2000	1/8	3.3538e-3		3.5156e-3		3.3965e-3	
1/2000	1/16	8.8481e-4	1.9224	8.8098e-4	1.9966	8.5199e-4	1.9951
1/2000	1/32	2.2132e-4	1.9992	2.2029e-4	1.9997	2.1334e-4	1.9977
1/2000	1/64	5.5017e-5	2.0082	5.4873e-5	2.0052	5.3220e-5	2.0031
1/2000	1/128	1.3509e-5	2.0260	1.3481e-5	2.0252	1.3089e-5	2.0236

Table 2: Errors and convergence rates at the final time $T = 1$ of example 1 with different α and take $\tau = 1/2000$.

h	τ	$\gamma = 0.1$		$\gamma = 0.5$		$\gamma = 0.9$	
		L_2 norm error	Rate	L_2 norm error	Rate	L_2 norm error	Rate
1/8	1/2000	2.0603e-2		2.0487e-2		2.0542e-2	
1/16	1/2000	5.1295e-3	2.0060	5.1010e-3	2.0059	5.1149e-3	2.0058
1/32	1/2000	1.2810e-3	2.0015	1.2739e-3	2.0015	1.2774e-3	2.0015
1/64	1/2000	3.2012e-4	2.0006	3.1836e-4	2.0005	3.1923e-4	2.0005
1/128	1/2000	7.9984e-5	2.0008	7.9542e-5	2.0009	7.9761e-5	2.0008



Figure 4: Solution behavior of example 2 at $T = 1/4$, $T = 1/2$, $T = 3/4$, $T = 1$ with the model parameters $\alpha = 1/2$..

6. Conclusion

In this article, we define a novel transformative Caputo fractional derivative which is equivalent with Caputo fractional derivative. This new transformative Caputo derivative takes the singular kernel away to make the integral calculation more efficient. Furthermore, the transformative formulation also helps to increase the convergence rate of the discretization of α-order($0 < \alpha < 1$) Caputo derivative from $O(\tau^{2-\alpha})$ to $O(\tau^{3-\alpha})$, where τ is the time step. We prove some lemmas and give a Crank-Nicholson finite difference scheme for fractal mobile/immobile transport model. By using transformative formulation, second-order error estimates in both of temporal and spatial mesh-size in descrete $L^{\infty}(L^2)$ errors are established for the Crank-Nicholson finite difference scheme.

References

[1] N. Zhang, W. Deng, Y. Wu, Finite difference/element method for a two-dimensional modified fractional diffusion equation, Adv. Appl. Math. Mech 4 (2012) 496–518.

[2] Y. Jiang, J. Ma, High-order finite element methods for time-fractional partial differential equations, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 235 (11) (2011) 3285–3290.

[3] W. Li, X. Da, Finite central difference/finite element approximations for parabolic integro-differential equations, Computing 90 (3-4) (2010) 89–111.

[4] F. Zeng, C. Li, F. Liu, I. Turner, The use of finite difference/element approaches for solving the time-fractional subdiffusion equation, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 35 (6) (2013) A2976–A3000.

[5] Y. Liu, H. Li, W. Gao, S. He, Z. Fang, A new mixed element method for a class of time-fractional partial differential equations, The Scientific World Journal 2014.

[6] Y. Zhao, P. Chen, W. Bu, X. Liu, Y. Tang, Two mixed finite element methods for time-fractional diffusion equations, Journal of Scientific Computing (2015) 1–22.

[7] Y. Liu, Z. Fang, H. Li, S. He, A mixed finite element method for a time-fractional fourth-order partial differential equation, Applied Mathematics and Computation 243 (2014) 703–717.

[8] Y. Liu, Y. Du, H. Li, J. Wang, An hˆ 1-galerkin mixed finite element method for time fractional reaction–diffusion equation, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing 47 (1-2) (2015) 103–117.

[9] E. Sousa, A second order explicit finite difference method for the fractional advection diffusion equation, Computers & Mathematics with Applications 64 (10) (2012) 3141–3152.

[10] E. Sousa, An explicit high order method for fractional advection diffusion equations, Journal of Computational Physics 278 (2014) 257–274.

[11] E. Sousa, Finite difference approximations for a fractional advection diffusion problem, Journal of Computational Physics 228 (11) (2009) 4038–4054.

[12] J. Huang, Y. Tang, L. Vázquez, J. Yang, Two finite difference schemes for time fractional diffusion-wave equation, Numerical Algorithms 64 (4) (2013) 707–720.

Table 3: Errors and convergence rates at the final time $T = 1$ of example 2 with different α and take $h = 1/2000$..

h	τ	$\gamma = 0.1$		$\gamma = 0.5$		$\gamma = 0.9$	
		L_2 norm error	Rate	L_2 norm error	Rate	L_2 norm error	Rate
1/2000	1/8	1.9924e-4		1.9816e-4		1.9166e-4	
1/2000	1/16	4.9831e-5	1.9994	4.9621e-5	1.9976	4.8024e-5	1.9967
1/2000	1/32	1.2386e-5	2.0083	1.2346e-5	2.0069	1.1963e-5	2.0052
1/2000	1/64	3.0214e-6	2.0354	3.0140e-6	2.0343	2.9227e-6	2.0332
1/2000	1/128	6.8010e-7	2.1514	6.7944e-7	2.1493	6.5733e-7	2.1526

Table 4: Errors and convergence rates at the final time $T = 1$ of example 2 with different α and take $\tau = 1/2000$.

h	τ	$\gamma = 0.1$		$\gamma = 0.5$		$\gamma = 0.9$	
		L_2 norm error	Rate	L_2 norm error	Rate	L_2 norm error	Rate
1/8	1/2000	6.4192e-3		6.3831e-3		6.4003e-3	
1/16	1/2000	1.6076e-3	1.9975	1.5987e-3	1.9974	1.6031e-3	1.9973
1/32	1/2000	4.0208e-4	1.9994	3.9986e-4	1.9993	4.0095e-4	1.9994
1/64	1/2000	1.0052e-4	2.0000	9.9758e-5	2.0030	1.0024e-4	2.0000
1/128	1/2000	2.5130e-5	2.0000	2.4992e-5	1.9970	2.5060e-5	2.0000

[13] A. Cheng, H. Wang, K. Wang, A eulerian–lagrangian control volume method for solute transport with anomalous diffusion, Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations 31 (1) (2015) 253–267.
[14] F. Liu, P. Zhuang, I. Turner, K. Burrage, V. Anh, A new fractional finite volume method for solving the fractional diffusion equation, Applied Mathematical Modelling 38 (15) (2014) 3871–3878.
[15] L. Wei, Y. He, Analysis of a fully discrete local discontinuous galerkin method for time-fractional fourth-order problems, Applied Mathematical Modelling 38 (4) (2014) 1511–1522.
[16] Y. Lin, C. Xu, Finite difference/spectral approximations for the time-fractional diffusion equation, Journal of Computational Physics 225 (2) (2007) 1533–1552.
[17] Y. Lin, X. Li, C. Xu, Finite difference/spectral approximations for the fractional cable equation, Mathematics of Computation 80 (275) (2011) 1369–1396.
[18] M. Caputo, M. Fabrizio, A new definition of fractional derivative without singular kernel, Progress in Fractional Differentiation and Applications 1 (2).
[19] A. Atangana, On the new fractional derivative and application to nonlinear fisherąŕs reaction–diffusion equation, Applied Mathematics and Computation 273 (2016) 948–956.
[20] A. Atangana, B. S. T. Alkahtani, Extension of the resistance, inductance, capacitance electrical circuit to fractional derivative without singular kernel, Advances in Mechanical Engineering 7 (6) (2015) DOI:10.1177/1687814015591937.
[21] A. Atangana, J. J. Nieto, Numerical solution for the model of rlc circuit via the fractional derivative without singular kernel, Advances in Mechanical Engineering 7 (10) (2015) DOI:10.1177/1687814015613758.
[22] A. Atangana, D. Baleanu, Numerical solution of a kind of fractional parabolic equations via two difference schemes, in: Abstract and Applied Analysis, Vol. 2013, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, 2013.
[23] Y. Zhang, D. A. Benson, D. M. Reeves, Time and space nonlocalities underlying fractional-derivative models: Distinction and literature review of field applications, Advances in Water Resources 32 (4) (2009) 561–581.
[24] R. Schumer, D. A. Benson, M. M. Meerschaert, B. Baeumer, Fractal mobile/immobile solute transport, Water Resources Research 39 (10).
[25] Q. Liu, F. Liu, I. Turner, V. Anh, Y. Gu, A rbf meshless approach for modeling a fractal mobile/immobile transport model, Applied Mathematics and Computation 226 (2014) 336–347.
[26] F. Liu, P. Zhuang, K. Burrage, Numerical methods and analysis for a class of fractional advection–dispersion models, Computers & Mathematics with Applications 64 (10) (2012) 2990–3007.
[27] H. Zhang, F. Liu, M. S. Phanikumar, M. M. Meerschaert, A novel numerical method for the time variable fractional order mobile–immobile advection–dispersion model, Computers & Mathematics with Applications 66 (5) (2013) 693–701.
[28] A. Ashyralyev, Z. Cakir, On the numerical solution of fractional parabolic partial differential equations with the dirichlet condition, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society 2012.
[29] A. Ashyralyev, Z. Cakir, Fdm for fractional parabolic equations with the neumann condition, Advances in Difference Equations 2013 (1) (2013) 1–16.
[30] I. Karatay, N. Kale, S. R. Bayramoglu, A new difference scheme for time fractional heat equations based on the crank-nicholson method, Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis 16 (4) (2013) 892–910.